

rammed, jammed & bifurcated:

*the convergence and divergence of intellectual
property and competition policy in the digital
environment*

Morris H. Averill

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a degree of Doctor of Philosophy
awarded by the University of Technology Sydney.

2012



CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, reading "M H Averill". The letters are cursive and fluid, with the first name "M" and last name "Averill" being more prominent than the middle initial "H".

Morris H. Averill (10289078)

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge my family, Mary Banfield and our daughters Zizi and Nina. Their support and encouragement has provided me with the motivation to complete this thesis. I would also acknowledge the inspiration for a life of learning that was provided by Jim Banfield and Eve Banfield.

I thank Professor Jill McKeough for her support in my application to the University of Technology Sydney and who as my supervisor was always able to provide me with helpful comments and advice as to books and papers to read and lines of inquiry to follow.

I also acknowledge the assistance provided in proofing the text and improving the style and linguistic expression of this thesis. I would thank the examiners for their insightful comments and their assistance in refining the focus and content of this thesis.

February 2012
Sydney, Australia

INDEX

Abstract

Chapter 1: Introduction to the influence of intellectual property rights on business strategies adopted in the digital environment

1. Competition strategies of firms in the digital environment:
 - (a) The digital revolution 1
 - (b) A simple model of the relationship between IP and competition policy 7
2. Intellectual property and competition policy in the digital environment - the scope of this thesis:
 - (a) The parameters of the enquiry 10
 - (b) The place of the enquiry within the academic literature 16
 - (c) The application of economic theory 21
 - (d) The limitations of economics as a social science 23
 - (e) A summary of the chapters of this thesis 27
3. Intellectual property and competition policy - two sides of the same coin:
 - (a) An emerging consensus as to IP and competition policy 29
 - (b) Do all intellectual property rights raise the same competition issues? 30
 - (c) Is 'innovation' a synonym of 'invention'? 36
 - (d) Competition policy in an expansionary IP universe 40
4. The cult of the consumer 44
5. Rammed, jammed and bifurcated 49

Chapter 2: Is the Digital Different?

1. The Microsoft litigation - IP and competition law in the digital environment 51
2. Apple, Inc - the icon of the digital revolution:
 - (a) Digital technology as a business channel to consumers 57
 - (b) 'Open' and 'closed' technologies 60
 - (c) Business strategies for tablet devices: walled-gardens, restaurants or shopping malls? 65
3. Google: '*competition is just one click away*' 71
4. The context of the policy debate:
 - (a) What drives the evolution of IP policy? 85
 - (b) High tech industries: the incentives to innovate and transaction costs resulting from the IP regimes 88
 - (c) Do the IP regimes act as incentives to innovation in the digital environment? 100
 - (d) Roles of IPRs and modes of behaviour of owners of IPRs 109

Part I: The intellectual property/competition interface

Chapter 3: IP Policy – the incentive to innovation and the ‘access/protection balance’

1.	A review of empirical research on the intersection of IP and competition policy	114
2.	The philosophical framework of intellectual property:	121
(a)	The evolution of property rights theory to the Hohfeldian ‘bundle of rights’ model	123
(b)	Free-riding, market failure and intellectual property rights in the 21 st century	127
(c)	The death of the rhetorical approach to intellectual property?	129
(d)	Efficiency and the design of intellectual property rights regimes	132
3.	The boundaries of intellectual property:	
(a)	The public domain and IP doctrines directed to preventing unjustifiable information monopolies	138
(b)	IP doctrines that demarcate the scope of the IPRs or act to establish whether there is harm to social welfare	142
(c)	The role of ‘originality’ and the test of an ‘author’s own intellectual creation’ in defining the scope of the grant of IPRs	142
4.	Whether it is preferable that IPRs are property rules or liability rules and the impact of ‘public liabilities’ on IPRs	149
5.	Do IPRs deserve different treatment to other forms of property?	153
6.	The tension between intellectual property rights and competition law	156
7.	Conclusions as to the rationale of intellectual property rights	160

Chapter 4: Competition policy in the digital environment

1.	The evolution of economic theory: Adam Smith to Googlenomics:	165
(a)	‘Consumer welfare’, ‘efficiency’ and high tech industries	169
(b)	Whether ‘efficiency’ is the only value in the analysis of competitive behaviour	170
(c)	Schumpeterian ‘creative destruction’	181
2.	Network effects and the drivers towards single firm dominance of IP markets	184
3.	Are IP & online markets self correcting? and what is the risk of erroneous market intervention?	186
4.	The application of economic theory to the <i>Trade Practices Act 1974</i> (Cth) and the <i>Competition and Consumer Act 2010</i> (Cth):	
(a)	‘Consumer welfare’, ‘efficiency’ and the TPA/CCA	191
(b)	The purposive interpretation of s. 46 TPA/CCA	194
5.	Section 51, <i>Trade Practices Act/Competition and Consumer Act</i> and the interaction between IP and competition law	201

6.	Preliminary conclusions as to determining the optimum 'access/protection balance' between IP and competition policy in the digital environment	208
 Part II: The digital environment and the intersection of intellectual property and competition law		
	Restatement of the Thesis: Part II – an overview	213
 Chapter 5: Refusals-to-license IP in the digital environment		
1.	Introduction to the interaction of intellectual property and competition law	214
2.	Intellectual property markets, the exercise of IPRs and exclusionary conduct	215
3.	Whether property rights can be a source of market power	218
4.	The interaction of the <i>Trade Practices Act/Competition and Consumer Act</i> and the exercise of IPRs	224
5.	Identifying markets for digital technology products	232
6.	Digital products and services: 'brand'-based markets and submarkets	242
 Chapter 6: The digital environment and refusals-to-license intellectual property		
1.	The scope of the analysis of the forms of 'refusal-to-deal'	249
2.	A 'refusal-to-deal' as exclusionary conduct:	251
	(a) Classification of the forms of 'refusal-to-deal'	252
	(b) Refusals-to-deal and the freedom to trade and free market philosophies	256
	(c) Refusing-to-deal with a existing customers or new customers	257
3.	Contrasting approaches to refusals-to-deal: the <i>Sherman Act</i> and the <i>EC Treaty</i> :	
	(a) Refusals-to-license IPRs in the U.S. federal courts	260
	(b) <i>Aspen Skiing</i> to <i>Trinko</i> : U.S. 'refusal-to-deal' cases	263
	(c) Refusals-to-license IPRs under European competition law	271
	(d) The <i>Microsoft</i> case and refusals-to-deal under EC competition law	277
4.	Efficiency analysis of refusals-to-license IP:	285
	(a) The argument against forced licensing of IP	286
	(b) The existence of an objective justification for a refusal-to-license IP	288
	(c) Models that assess the effect of a refusal-to-license on allocative efficiency and dynamic efficiency	290
	(d) The s. 46 TPA/CCA efficiency analysis of refusals-to-license IP	294

5.	Access to data and information in the digital environment:	
(a)	Comparison of U.S. and European and Australian cases on the 'refusal-to-license' (intellectual) property	296
(b)	<i>Pont Data</i> case - access to stock exchange data	298
(c)	<i>NYMEX</i> case - access to market settlement prices: property rights in data	300
(d)	<i>Attheraces</i> case - access to horse racing information: property rights in data	302
(e)	The <i>IceTV</i> case - access to 'time and title information': property rights in 'slivers of information'	307
(f)	<i>Pont Data</i> , <i>NYMEX</i> , <i>Attheraces</i> and <i>IceTV</i> : Conclusions	311

Chapter 7: 'Essential facilities' ('essential services')

1.	Introduction to the 'essential facility' or 'bottleneck facility' problem:	
(a)	What is the role of the 'essential facilities' doctrine in determining when IPRs must be licensed?	314
(b)	The 'essential facility' or 'bottleneck facility' problem	318
2.	The 'essential facilities' analysis compared to the misuse of market power analysis:	
(a)	The origins of the U.S. essential facilities doctrine	321
(b)	The <i>Trinko</i> case and the essential facilities doctrine	323
(c)	The <i>Google Book</i> project as an essential facility	325
3.	European cases on access to facilities:	
(a)	Technical, legal and economic barriers to entry creating an essential facility	328
(b)	The essential facility doctrine and <i>Article 102</i> TFEU	320
(c)	The economic analysis of essential facilities	330
4.	Digital technology as an 'essential facility':	
(a)	Computer-based flight booking systems as 'essential facilities'	332
(b)	Computer operating systems as 'essential facilities'	334
(c)	Search engines as 'essential facilities'	336
(d)	Critique of the role of the essential facilities doctrine in digital technology	338
5.	'Essential facilities' in Australia: s. 46 TPA/CCA as an access mechanism and access pricing issues	340

Chapter 8: Collateral issues at the intersection of IP and competition policy

1.	IPRs and the technological integration of products:	344
(a)	Leveraging IPRs from primary markets into secondary markets	345
(b)	The technological integration of products	347

(c)	Digital rights management/technological protection measures and access to products and markets	349
2.	The role of specialist doctrines - the misuse of copyright and misuse of patent:	
(a)	The role of specialist doctrines	356
(b)	The application of specialist doctrines compared to a 'basics' approach	358
(c)	Computer technology and the misuse of copyright and the misuse of patent	361
(d)	Is there a role for the misuse doctrines in Australia?	365
(e)	Policy choices: refusal-to-license and copyright misuse	368

Part III: Critique, conclusions and policy implications

Chapter 9:	<i>Critique, conclusions and policy implications arising from the intersection of intellectual property and competition policy in the digital environment</i>	360
(1)	What are the desirable limits of property rights?	371
(2)	In what circumstances should a refusal-to-license IPRs be determine to be anti-competitive conduct?	
(a)	Should IPRs be treated the same as or different to other property rights under competition law?	373
(b)	Can intellectual property be a source of market power?	373
(c)	Market definition in the digital environment and barriers to entry to digital markets	375
(d)	Trans-jurisdictions review of refusals-to-license intellectual property rights	377
(3)	Does the 'essential facilities' doctrine provide an appropriate mechanism to define when intellectual property rights must be licensed?	379
(4)	The role of internal IP rules, doctrines and defences in balancing property rights and competition policy	379
(5)	The justification of model for the interaction of IP and competition policy 'with narrower IP protection and strong competition policy that intervenes only exceptionally.'	381

List of illustrations

Figure 1. 'Policy choices: refusal-to-license and copyright misuse'	(page 368)
---	------------

Glossary - Definition of terms and abbreviations	(i – xxx)
---	-----------

Bibliography	(xxx – 1xxxiv)
---------------------	----------------

Abstract

rammed, jammed & bifurcated:

the convergence and divergence of intellectual property and competition policy in the digital environment

This thesis is directed to the intersection between intellectual property (IP) and competition policy in the digital environment. The policy debate to which this thesis contributes is the controversy as to whether a forced licensing of intellectual property rights (IPRs) will advance or inhibit innovation and creativity with a corresponding effect on consumer welfare. In the Australian context the debate is focused on whether s. 46 of the *Competition and Consumer Act 2010* (as the successor to the *Trade Practices Act 1974*) should be applied to remedy refusals-to-license IPRs.

This thesis argues that the optimum model to achieve the balance between the protection of the incentive to innovate or create and the competition policy of promoting competitive markets, including IP product markets is a model that provides for narrow IP protection (that focuses on the scope of the grant of the IPRs) with the application of competition policy (that treats the exercise of IPRs in the same way as the exercise of other property rights). Such a model is argued as not resulting in an expansive scope for the application of s. 46 as the High Court in the *NT Power v PAWA* (2004), described 'the notoriously difficult task of satisfying the criteria of liability' of s. 46. This thesis considers the scope of copyright protection in the digital environment in relation to the impact of *IceTV v Nine Network* (2009) in which the High Court re-evaluates the concepts of 'originality' and 'substantial reproduction', and as a consequence, address what members of the High Court described as the public interest 'in maintaining a robust public domain in which further works are produced.' The focus of this thesis is on the digital environment and includes consideration of the role of the access control technology protection measures in the *Copyright Act 1968* (Cth) and their possible use to subvert the proper balance between IP and competition policy, the IP doctrines that identify the boundaries of the IPRs and the specialist doctrines that address any attempt to 'overreach' or 'misuse' of IPRs.

This thesis investigates the intersection of IP law and competition policy in the digital environment by adopting an approach that integrates property rights theory and the economic justification for IPRs with emerging economic analysis for digital telecommunication networks. The analysis of competition policy in respect to the digital environment incorporates the emerging economic analysis as to: Schumpeterian 'creative destruction'; contestable market theory; 'network externalities' or 'network effects' that apply to digital technologies; the nature of two-sided markets; and the theory of raising rival's costs as it applies to asserting IPRs.